ı	Case 3:12-cv-00576-RCJ-WGC Document 35 Filed 04/15/13 Page 1 of 4 NED
•	FILED SERVED ON ENTERED COUNSELIPARTIES OF RECORD
1	Philip L. Stimac APR 15 2013
	395 Greenstone Drive
2	PH. 775 742 4962 CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA DEPUTY
3	In Pro Se
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5	NEVADA DISTRICT
6	-1.1
7.	Philip L. Stimac, etc.,] Case No. 3:12-576 RCJ-WGC et al
8] MOTION TO STRIKE IMMATERIAL vs.] AND INPERTENT WORDS AND
9] LANGUAGE FROM DEFENDANT'S Eric Holder, etc.,] MOTION TO DISMISS
10	et al]] FRCP 12 [f]
11]
12	
13	I.
14	Legal Standard
15	FRCP 12 [f] allows a district judge to strike
16	words and language which is immaterial and impertinent
17	from a pleading.
18	II.
	Words and Language To Be Striken
19	At page 5, lines 11-17 defendants allege that
20	plaintiff's faith and devotion to the Virgin Mary
21	is:
22	a] fanciful at best and patently frivolous;
23	al lancitur at best and patemery reriotous,
24	

Stimac vs. Holder Motion To Strike

b] describes fantastic of delusional scenarios or contains fanciful allegations.

III

Facts

Plaintiff is a practicing Roman Catholic with special devotion to the Virgin Mary. The Virgin Mary is a key character in the Catholic Church. Millions of people worldwide believe or have faith in her.

The Vatican has promulgated four Marian dogmas.

It has also approved several of her reported appatitions. The Virgin Mary has been designated as patroness of the United States.

IV.

Argument

Defendants opinion of plaintiff's Marian faith and devotion is immaterial and impertinent to this case. Furthermore, it constitutes an impingement on plaintiff's religious freedom.

It is well established that what constitutes religion raises delicate questions which allow every individual to set his own standards. Wisconsin vs.

Yoder 406 U.S. 205, 92 S. Ct. 1526.

The First Amendment forbids subtle departures from defendant's neutrality as well as obvious abuses.

Gillette vs. U.S. 401 U.S. 437, 91 S. Ct. 828. V. Conclusion Based on the foregoing analysis, plaintiff re-quests the court strike the immaterial and impertinent words and language from defendant's motion to dismiss. DATED: April 5, 2013

Stimac vs. Holder Motion To Strike

Proof Of Service

I Philip L. Stimac declare that I m over the age of 18, not a party to this case and a resident of Washoe County. On April 13, 2013 I served Points and Authorities In Opposition To Dismiss and Motion To strike by depositing copies in the US Mail addressed to Holly Vance, U.S. Attorney, 100 West Liberty Street, Suite 600.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

April 13m 2013

PHILIP L. STIMAC